

# Compact Embeddings of Vector-Valued Sobolev and Besov Spaces

Herbert Amann

In memoriam Branko Najman

## 1. Introduction and Main Results

Let  $E$ ,  $E_0$ , and  $E_1$  be Banach spaces such that

$$E_1 \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow E_0, \quad (1.1)$$

with  $\hookrightarrow$  and  $\hookrightarrow$  denoting continuous and compact embedding, respectively. Suppose that  $p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty]$  and  $T > 0$ , that

$$\mathcal{V} \text{ is a bounded subset of } L_{p_1}((0, T), E_1), \quad (1.2)$$

and that

$$\partial\mathcal{V} := \{\partial v; v \in \mathcal{V}\} \text{ is bounded in } L_{p_0}((0, T), E_0), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\partial$  denotes the distributional derivative. Then the well-known ‘Aubin lemma’, more precisely, the ‘Aubin-Dubinskii lemma’ guarantees that

$$\mathcal{V} \text{ is relatively compact in } L_{p_1}((0, T), E). \quad (1.4)$$

This result is proven in [Aub63, Théorème 1] and also in [Lio69, Théorème I.5.1], provided  $E_0$  and  $E_1$  are reflexive and  $p_0, p_1 \in (1, \infty)$ . It has also been derived by Dubinskii [Dub65] (see [Lio69, Théorème I.12.1]) with the same restrictions for  $p_0$  and  $p_1$ , but without the reflexivity hypothesis. (In fact, Dubinskii proves a slightly more sophisticated theorem in which the  $L_{p_1}$ -norm in (1.2) is replaced by a more general functional.)

A proof of (1.4), given assumptions (1.2) and (1.3) only, is due to Simon (see [Sim87, Corollary 4]). In fact, this author observes that (1.3) can be replaced by

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \|v(\cdot + h) - v\|_{L_{p_1}((0, T-h), E_0)} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly for } v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (1.5)$$

(see [Sim87, Theorem 5]). Note that the integrability exponents in (1.2) and (1.5) are equal.

Compactness theorems of ‘Aubin-Dubinskii type’ are very useful in the theory of nonlinear evolution equations and are employed in numerous research papers. Typical situations are as follows:  $(u_k)$  is a sequence of approximate solutions to a given nonlinear evolution equation. If it is possible to bound this sequence in  $L_{p_1}(X, E_1)$  and if one can

bound the sequence  $(\partial u_k)$  in  $L_{p_0}(X, E_0)$ , then the Aubin-Dubinskii lemma guarantees that one can extract a subsequence which converges in  $L_{p_1}(X, E)$ . If it is then possible to pass to the limit in the approximating problems, whose solutions are the  $u_k$ , and if the limiting equation coincides with the original evolution equation, then the existence of a solution to the original problem has been established (cf. [Lio69] for an exposition of this technique). In many concrete cases it is rather difficult, if not impossible, to pass to the limit in nonlinear equations if  $(\partial u_k)$  is only known to converge in  $L_{p_1}(X, E)$ . Convergence in ‘better spaces’, whose elements are more regular (in space or in time), is needed. Even if convergence in  $L_{p_1}(X, E)$  is sufficient, it is often important to know that the limiting element belongs to a space with more regularity.

It is the purpose of this paper to prove compact embedding theorems of ‘Aubin-Dubinskii type’ involving spaces of higher regularity. For this we observe that in most practical cases it is possible to squeeze an interpolation space between  $E$  and  $E_1$  (see Remark 7.4). Thus we replace assumption (1.1) by the slightly more restrictive condition:

$$E_1 \hookrightarrow E_0 \quad \text{and} \quad (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, 1} \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow E_0 \quad \text{for some } \theta \in (0, 1), \quad (1.6)$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\theta, q}$  denote the real interpolation functors (cf. [BL76] or [Tri78] for the basic facts of interpolation theory; also see [Ama95, Section I.2] for a summary). Note that the compactness assumption in (1.6) is weaker than the one in (1.1). Moreover, it is well-known that  $(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, 1} \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow E_0$  iff  $E_1 \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow E_0$  and

$$\|x\|_E \leq c \|x\|_{E_0}^{1-\theta} \|x\|_{E_1}^{\theta}, \quad x \in E_1,$$

(e.g., [BL76, Theorem 3.5.2] or [Tri78, Lemma 1.10.1]). Here and below  $c$  denotes positive constants which may differ from formula to formula. Intuitively, the parameter  $1 - \theta$  measures the ‘distance’ between  $E_1$  and  $E$ .

In order to formulate our main result involving assumptions (1.2) and (1.6) we need some notation. Throughout this paper it is always assumed that  $p, p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty]$ , unless explicit restrictions are given, and that  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{p\theta} := \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}.$$

Given  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+ := [0, \infty)$ , we denote by  $W_p^s((0, T), E)$  the Sobolev-Slobodeckii space of order  $s$  of  $E$ -valued distributions on  $(0, T)$ , which is defined in analogy to the scalar case (see Section 2). We also put  $c^0([0, T], E) := C([0, T], E)$ ; and  $c^s([0, T], E)$  is, for  $0 < s < 1$ , the Banach space of all  $s$ -Hölder-continuous  $E$ -valued functions on  $[0, T]$  satisfying

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{0 < x, y < T \\ 0 < |x-y| < r}} \frac{\|u(x) - u(y)\|}{|x-y|^s} = 0,$$

the ‘little Hölder space’ of order  $s$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let (1.2) and (1.6) be satisfied. Suppose that either*

$$s_0 := 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (1.3) \text{ is true}, \quad (1.7)$$

or

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 0 < s_0 < 1, \quad p_0 \leq p_1, \quad \text{and} \\ \|v(\cdot + h) - v\|_{L_{p_0}((0, T-h), E_0)} \leq ch^{s_0}, \quad 0 < h < T, \quad v \in \mathcal{V}. \end{array} \right\} \quad (1.8)$$

Then  $\mathcal{V}$  is relatively compact in

$$W_p^s((0, T), E) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq s < (1 - \theta)s_0 \text{ and } s - 1/p < (1 - \theta)s_0 - 1/p_\theta, \quad (1.9)$$

and in

$$c^s([0, T], E) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq s < (1 - \theta)s_0 - 1/p_\theta. \quad (1.10)$$

Let (1.2), (1.3), and (1.6) be satisfied. In [Sim87, Corollary 8] it is shown that  $\mathcal{V}$  is relatively compact in

$$L_p((0, T), E) \quad \text{if } 1 - \theta \leq 1/p_\theta < 1/p, \quad (1.11)$$

and in

$$C([0, T], E) \quad \text{if } 1 - \theta > 1/p_\theta. \quad (1.12)$$

Note that (1.9) implies in this case that  $\mathcal{V}$  is relatively compact in  $L_p((0, T), E)$  if

$$1/p_\theta - (1 - \theta) < 1/p.$$

Hence we can admit values  $p > p_\theta$  if  $1 - \theta < 1/p_\theta$ , in contrast to (1.11) where  $p < p_\theta$  is required. Furthermore, (1.9) implies in the present situation that  $\mathcal{V}$  is relatively compact in

$$W_{p_\theta}^s((0, T), E) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq s < 1 - \theta.$$

Since (1.10) shows that  $\mathcal{V}$  is relatively compact in  $c^s([0, T], E)$  if  $0 \leq s < 1 - \theta - 1/p_\theta$ , we see that Theorem 1.1 is a substantial improvement over Simon's extension of the Aubin-Dubinskii lemma, provided condition (1.6) is satisfied.

In [Sim87, Theorem 7] it is also shown that  $\mathcal{V}$  is relatively compact in  $L_{p_\theta}((0, T), E)$  if (1.2), (1.5), and (1.6) are true. Theorem 1.1 gives a considerable sharpening of this result, provided (1.5) is replaced by its quantitative version (1.8).

Suppose that  $V$  and  $H$  are Hilbert spaces such that  $V \xrightarrow{d} H$ . Then, identifying  $H$  with its (anti-)dual  $H'$ , it follows that  $V \xrightarrow{d} H \xrightarrow{d} V'$ . It is known (e.g., [LM72]) that  $H = (V', V)_{1/2, 2}$ . Hence, letting  $(E_0, E_1) := (V', V)$  and  $E := H$ , condition (1.6) is satisfied with  $\theta := 1/2$ . Setting  $p_0 := p_1 := 2$ , we infer from (1.9) that  $\mathcal{V}$  is relatively compact in  $L_p((0, T), H)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . It is also known that  $\mathcal{V}$  is continuously — but not compactly — injected in  $C([0, T], H)$  (see [Mig95]). This shows that Theorem 1.1 is sharp. It should be noted that Simon's result (1.11) guarantees only that  $\mathcal{V}$  is relatively compact in  $L_p((0, T), H)$  for  $1 \leq p < 2$ .

Theorem 1.1 is a special case of much more general results which are also valid if  $(0, T)$  is replaced by a sufficiently regular bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Its proof is given in Section 5.

In the next section we introduce vector-valued Besov spaces on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and recall some of their basic properties. In particular, we prove an interpolation theorem extending an earlier result due to Grisvard. In Section 4 we discuss vector-valued Besov spaces on  $X$  and prove compact embedding theorems for them. In Section 5 we derive an analogue of the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem for vector-valued Sobolev spaces on  $X$  as well as a compact embedding theorem for intersections of Sobolev-Slobodeckii spaces. The last section contains a renorming result for Sobolev-Slobodeckii spaces. We close this paper by commenting on the regularity assumptions for  $X$ .

We are indebted to E. Maitre for bringing [Mig95] to our attention.

## 2. Some Function Spaces

Let  $X$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $E$  is a Banach space, that  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the Sobolev space  $W_p^m(X, E)$  is the Banach space of all  $u \in L_p(X, E)$  such that the distributional derivatives  $\partial^\alpha u$  belong to  $L_p(X, E)$  for  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , endowed with the usual norm  $\|\cdot\|_{m,p}$ . Furthermore,  $BUC^m(X, E)$  is the closed linear subspace of  $W_\infty^m(X, E)$  consisting of all  $u$  such that  $\partial^\alpha u$  is bounded and uniformly continuous on  $X$ , that is,  $\partial^\alpha u \in BUC(X, E)$ , for  $|\alpha| \leq m$ .

If  $0 < \theta < 1$ , put

$$[u]_{\theta,p} := \begin{cases} \left[ \int_{X \times X} \left( \frac{\|u(x) - u(y)\|_E}{|x - y|^\theta} \right)^p \frac{d(x, y)}{|x - y|^n} \right]^{1/p}, & p < \infty, \\ \sup_{\substack{x, y \in X \\ x \neq y}} \frac{\|u(x) - u(y)\|_E}{|x - y|^\theta}, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then we set

$$W_p^{m+\theta}(X, E) := \left( \{ u \in W_p^m(X, E) ; \|u\|_{m+\theta,p} < \infty \}, \|\cdot\|_{m+\theta,p} \right),$$

where

$$\|u\|_{m+\theta,p} := \|u\|_{m,p} + \max_{|\alpha|=m} [\partial^\alpha u]_{\theta,p}.$$

If  $p < \infty$  then  $W_p^{m+\theta}(X, E)$  is a vector-valued Slobodeckii space, and

$$W_\infty^{m+\theta}(X, E) = BUC^{m+\theta}(X, E),$$

the subspace of  $BUC^m(X, E)$  consisting of all  $u$  such that  $\partial^\alpha u$  is uniformly  $\theta$ -Hölder continuous for  $|\alpha| = m$ .

If  $m > 0$  and  $0 \leq \theta < 1$  then  $W_p^{-m+\theta}(X, E)$  [resp.  $BUC^{-m}(X, E)$ ] is the Banach space of all  $E$ -valued distributions  $u$  on  $X$  having a representation

$$u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \partial^\alpha u_\alpha$$

with  $u_\alpha \in W_p^\theta(X, E)$  [resp.  $u_\alpha \in BUC^\theta(X, E)$ ], equipped with the norm

$$u \mapsto \|u\|_{-m+\theta,p} := \inf \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|u_\alpha\|_{\theta,p} \right),$$

the infimum being taken over all such representations, and  $p$  being equal to  $\infty$  if  $u_\alpha \in BUC^\theta(X, E)$ . Thus the ‘Sobolev-Slobodeckii scale’  $W_p^s(X, E)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , is well-defined for each  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , as is the ‘Hölder scale’  $BUC^s(X, E)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{D}(X, E) \hookrightarrow W_p^s(X, E) \cap BUC^s(X, E) \hookrightarrow W_p^s(X, E) + BUC^s(X, E) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X, E)$$

for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Here  $\mathcal{D}(X, E)$  is the space of all  $E$ -valued test functions on  $X$  endowed with the usual inductive limit topology, and  $\mathcal{D}'(X, E) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}(X), E)$  is the space of  $E$ -valued distributions on  $X$ , with  $\mathcal{L}$  denoting the space of continuous linear maps, equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets.

We also define the scale of ‘little Hölder spaces’  $buc^s(X, E)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , by setting

$$buc^m(X, E) := BUC^m(X, E)$$

and by denoting by

$$buc^{m+\theta}(X, E) \text{ the closure of } BUC^{m+1}(X, E) \text{ in } BUC^{m+\theta}(X, E)$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $u \in BUC^{m+\theta}(X, E)$  belongs to  $buc^{m+\theta}(X, E)$  iff

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in X \\ 0 < |x-y| < r}} \frac{\|\partial^\alpha u(x) - \partial^\alpha u(y)\|_E}{|x-y|^\theta} = 0, \quad |\alpha| = m,$$

(cf. [Lun95, Proposition 0.2.1], for example).

Throughout the remainder of this paper we suppose that

$$X \text{ is a smoothly bounded open subset of } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which means that  $\overline{X}$  is a compact  $n$ -dimensional  $C^\infty$ -submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with boundary. This assumption is imposed for convenience and can be considerably relaxed (see the last paragraph of Section 7).

It follows that  $BUC^s(X, E) = C^s(\overline{X}, E)$  for  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$  by identifying  $u \in BUC^s(X, E)$  with its unique continuous extension  $\overline{u} \in C^s(\overline{X}, E)$ . For this reason we put

$$C^s(\overline{X}, E) := BUC^s(X, E), \quad c^s(\overline{X}, E) := buc^s(X, E)$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Henceforth, we always suppose that  $E, E_0$ , and  $E_1$  are complex Banach spaces. The real case can be covered by complexification. We also suppose that  $s, s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  and put  $s_\theta := (1 - \theta)s_0 + \theta s_1$ .

### 3. Besov Spaces on $\mathbb{R}^n$

Fix a radial  $\psi := \psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$  with  $\psi(\xi) = 1$  for  $|\xi| < 1$  and  $\psi(\xi) = 0$  for  $|\xi| \geq 2$ . Put

$$\psi_k(\xi) := \psi(2^{-k}\xi) - \psi(2^{-k+1}\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\},$$

and  $\psi_k(D) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi_k\mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the Fourier transform on  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n, E) := \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), E)$  and  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the Besov space  $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, E)$  of  $E$ -valued distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined to be the vector subspace of  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n, E)$  consisting of all  $u$  satisfying

$$\|u\|_{s,p,q} := \left\| \left( 2^{sk} \|\psi_k(D)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, E)} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{\ell_q} < \infty.$$

It is a Banach space with this norm, and different choices of  $\psi$  lead to equivalent norms.

In this section we simply write  $\mathfrak{F}$  for  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^n, E)$  if the latter is a locally convex space of  $E$ -valued distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , that is,  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^n, E) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n, E)$ , and no confusion seems likely.

It follows that

$$\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow B_{p,q_1}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow B_{p,q_0}^{s_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}', \quad s_1 > s_0, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$B_{p,q_0}^s \hookrightarrow B_{p,q_1}^s, \quad q_0 < q_1. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover,

$$B_{p_1,q}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow B_{p_0,q}^{s_0}, \quad s_1 > s_0, \quad s_1 - n/p_1 = s_0 - n/p_0. \quad (3.3)$$

Besov spaces are stable under real interpolation, that is, if  $0 < \theta < 1$  then

$$(B_{p,q_0}^{s_0}, B_{p,q_1}^{s_1})_{\theta,q} \doteq B_{p,q}^{s_\theta}, \quad s_0 \neq s_1. \quad (3.4)$$

They are related to Slobodeckii and Hölder spaces by

$$B_{p,p}^s \doteq W_p^s, \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$B_{p,1}^m \hookrightarrow W_p^m \hookrightarrow B_{p,\infty}^m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad p < \infty. \quad (3.6)$$

Moreover,  $B_{p,p}^m \neq W_p^m$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  unless  $p = 2$  and  $E$  is a Hilbert space. Note that (3.4)–(3.6) imply

$$(W_p^{s_0}, W_p^{s_1})_{\theta,q} \doteq B_{p,q}^{s_\theta}, \quad s_0 \neq s_1, \quad p < \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

It is also true that

$$B_{\infty,1}^m \hookrightarrow BUC^m \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,\infty}^m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.8)$$

and  $B_{\infty,\infty}^m$  is the Zygmund space  $\mathcal{C}^m$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  (e.g., [Tri83] for the scalar case). Hence we infer from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$(BUC^{s_0}, BUC^{s_1})_{\theta,q} \doteq B_{\infty,q}^{s_\theta}. \quad (3.9)$$

The definition and the above properties of vector-valued Besov spaces are literally the same as in the scalar case (for which we refer to [Tri78], [Tri83], [Tri92], and [BL76]). The proofs carry over from the scalar to the vector-valued setting by employing the Fourier multiplier theorem of Propostion 4.5 of [Ama97]. A detailed and coherent treatment containing many additional results will be given in [Ama99]. For earlier (partial) results and different approaches we refer to [Gri66], [Sch86], and [Tri97, Section 15], as well as to the other references cited in [Ama97]. Embedding theorems for vector-valued Besov and Slobodeckii spaces on an interval are also derived in [Sim90], but with  $s, s_0$ , and  $s_1$  restricted to the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

We define the little Besov space  $b_{p,q}^s$  to be the closure of  $B_{p,q}^{s+1}$  in  $B_{p,q}^s$ . Then

$$b_{p,q}^s := \begin{cases} B_{p,q}^s, & p \vee q < \infty, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \\ buc^s, & p = q = \infty, \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$b_{p,q}^s \text{ is the closure of } B_{p,q}^t \text{ in } B_{p,q}^s \text{ for } t > s \quad (3.11)$$

(see [Ama97, Propositions 5.3 and 5.4 and Remark 5.5(b)] and [Ama99]). Denoting by  $\overset{d}{\hookrightarrow}$  dense embedding, it follows that

$$\mathcal{S} \overset{d}{\hookrightarrow} B_{p,q_1}^{s_1} \overset{d}{\hookrightarrow} B_{p,q_0}^{s_0} \overset{d}{\hookrightarrow} b_{p,\infty}^{s_0} \overset{d}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{S}', \quad p < \infty, \quad (3.12)$$

if either  $s_1 = s_0$  and  $1 \leq q_1 \leq q_0 < \infty$ , or  $s_1 > s_0$  and  $q_0 \vee q_1 < \infty$  (see [Ama97, Remark 5.5(a)]).

The following interpolation theorem for vector-valued Besov spaces will be of particular importance for us.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(E_0, E_1)$  be an interpolation couple and suppose that  $s_0 \neq s_1$  and  $p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \in [1, \infty)$ . Then*

$$(B_{p_0, q_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n, E_0), B_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, E_1))_{\theta, q_\theta} \doteq B_{p_\theta, q_\theta}^{s_\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n, (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, q_\theta}) ,$$

provided  $p_\theta = q_\theta$ .

**Proof** We denote by  $\ell_q^s(E)$  the subspace of  $E^\mathbb{N}$  consisting of all  $u = (u_k)$  satisfying

$$\|u\|_{\ell_q^s(E)} := \|(2^{sk} u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_q} < \infty .$$

It is a Banach space with this norm. If  $(F_0, F_1)$  is an interpolation couple then

$$(\ell_{q_0}^{s_0}(F_0), \ell_{q_1}^{s_1}(F_1))_{\theta, q_\theta} \doteq \ell_{q_\theta}^{s_\theta}((F_0, F_1)_{\theta, q_\theta}) \quad (3.13)$$

(e.g., [BL76, Theorem 5.6.2] or [Tri78, Theorem 1.18.1]). Furthermore ([Tri78, Theorem 1.18.4]),

$$(L_{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n, E_0), L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, E_1))_{\theta, p_\theta} \doteq L_{p_\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n, (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p_\theta}) . \quad (3.14)$$

From [Ama97, Lemma 5.1] we know that  $B_{p, q}^s$  is a retract of  $\ell_q^s(L_p)$ . Hence the assertion follows from (3.13), (3.14), and [Tri78, Theorem 1.2.4] or [Ama95, Proposition I.2.3.2]. ■

Theorem 3.1 generalizes a result of Grisvard [Gri66, formula (6.9) on p. 179] who considers the case  $p_j = q_j$  and  $n = 1$ . It should be noted that Grisvard's proof does not extend to  $n > 1$  since, in general,  $W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n, E)$  is not isomorphic to  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, E)$ .

#### 4. Besov Spaces on $X$

We denote by  $r_{\overline{X}} \in \mathcal{L}(C(\mathbb{R}^n, E), C(\overline{X}, E))$  the operator of point-wise restriction,  $u \mapsto u|_{\overline{X}}$ , and recall that  $r_X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n, E), \mathcal{D}'(X, E))$  is the restriction operator in the sense of distribution, that is,

$$r_X u(\varphi) := u(\varphi) , \quad u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n, E) , \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X) .$$

Observe that coretractions for  $r_{\overline{X}}$  and  $r_X$  are extension operators.

The following extension theorem is of basic importance for the study of spaces of distributions on  $X$ . Here and below we set

$$\mathcal{W}_p^s(Y, E) := \begin{cases} W_p^s(Y, E) , & p < \infty , \\ BUC^s(Y, E) , & p = \infty , \end{cases}$$

for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $Y \in \{\mathbb{R}^n, X\}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.**  *$r_X$  is a retraction from  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n, E)$  onto  $\mathcal{D}'(X, E)$  and there exists a coretraction  $e_X$  for  $r_X$  which is independent of  $E$ . Moreover,  $r_X \supset r_{\overline{X}}$ , and  $r_X$  belongs to*

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n, E), C^\infty(\overline{X}, E)) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n, E), \mathcal{W}_p^s(X, E)) \cap \mathcal{L}(buc^s(\mathbb{R}^n, E), c^s(\overline{X}, E)) .$$

Furthermore,  $e_X$  is an element of

$$\mathcal{L}(C^\infty(\overline{X}), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n, E)) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}_p^s(X, E), \mathcal{W}_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n, E)) \cap \mathcal{L}(e^s(\overline{X}), \text{buc}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, E)) ,$$

and it is a coretraction for  $r_X$  in each case.

**Proof** By a standard partition of unity argument the proof is reduced to establishing a corresponding statement if  $X$  is replaced by a half-space of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In this case the theorem is deduced by constructing an extension operator along the lines of [Ham75, Part II]. For details and generalizations we refer to [Ama99]. ■

Now we define the Besov spaces of  $E$ -valued distributions on  $X$  by

$$B_{p,q}^s(X, E) := r_X B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, E) ,$$

equipped with the obvious quotient space topology.

**Proposition 4.2.**  $r_X$  is a retraction from  $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, E)$  onto  $B_{p,q}^s(X, E)$  and  $e_X$  is a corresponding coretraction.

**Proof** Fix  $s_0 < s < s_1$  and put  $\theta := (s - s_0)/(s_1 - s_0)$ . Then

$$(\mathcal{W}_p^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n, E), \mathcal{W}_p^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, E))_{\theta, q} \doteq B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, E)$$

thanks to (3.7) and (3.9). By Theorem 4.1 the diagrams of continuous linear maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}_p^{s_j}(\mathbb{R}^n, E) & \xrightarrow{r_X} & \mathcal{W}_p^{s_j}(X, E) \\ & \swarrow e_X & \searrow \text{id} \\ & \mathcal{W}_p^{s_j}(X, E) & \end{array}$$

are commutative. Hence the assertion follows by interpolation. ■

**Corollary 4.3.** Assertions (3.1)–(3.12) as well as Theorem 3.1 remain valid if  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is replaced by  $X$ , provided we substitute  $C^\infty(\overline{X}, E)$  and  $\mathcal{D}'(X, E)$  for  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{S}'$ , respectively.

**Proof** This is deduced from Proposition 4.2 by standard arguments. ■

In the following (4.x), where  $x \in \{1, \dots, 12\}$ , denotes the analogue of formula (3.x) with  $\mathbb{R}^n$  replaced by  $X$ , as well as  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{S}'$  replaced by  $C^\infty(\overline{X}, E)$  and  $\mathcal{D}'(X, E)$ , respectively.

Now it is easy to prove the following compact embedding theorem.

**Theorem 4.4.** Suppose that  $E_1 \hookrightarrow E_0$ . Then

$$B_{p,q}^{s_1}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow B_{p,q}^{s_0}(X, E_0) , \quad s_1 > s_0 .$$

**Proof** Fix  $\sigma_0 < s_0 < s_1 < \sigma_1$  and  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\sigma_0 < 0$  and  $\sigma < \sigma_1 - n/p$ . Then we infer from (4.1)–(4.3) and (4.5), (4.6) that

$$B_{p,q}^{\sigma_1}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow B_{\infty, \infty}^{\sigma_1 - n/p}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow C^\sigma(\overline{X}, E_1)$$



and

$$C(\overline{X}, E_0) \hookrightarrow L_p(X, E_0) \hookrightarrow B_{p,q}^{\sigma_0}(X, E_0) .$$

Since, by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem,  $C^\sigma(\overline{X}, E_1)$  is compactly embedded in  $C(\overline{X}, E_0)$ , it follows that  $B_{p,q}^{\sigma_1}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow B_{p,q}^{\sigma_0}(X, E_0)$ . Now the assertion is a consequence of (4.4) and the Lions-Peetre compactness theorem for the real interpolation method. ■

**Corollary 4.5.** (i) *Suppose that  $E_1 \hookrightarrow E_0$ . If  $s_1 > s_0$  and  $s_1 - n/p_1 > s_0 - n/p_0$  then*

$$B_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow b_{p_0,q_0}^{s_0}(X, E_0) .$$

(ii) *Suppose that*

$$E_1 \hookrightarrow E_0 \quad \text{and} \quad (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p_\theta} \hookrightarrow E .$$

*If  $s_\theta > s$  and  $s_\theta - n/p_\theta > s - n/p$  then*

$$B_{p_0,q_0}^{s_0}(X, E_0) \cap B_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow b_{p,q}^s(X, E) .$$

**Proof** (i) Since  $X$  is bounded, it is obvious that

$$C^m(\overline{X}, E) \hookrightarrow W_p^m(X, E) \hookrightarrow W_{\overline{p}}^m(X, E) , \quad 1 \leq \overline{p} < p , \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} .$$

Thus it is an easy consequence of (4.1), (4.5), (4.7), and (4.9) that

$$B_{p,q}^s(X, E) \hookrightarrow B_{\overline{p},q}^s(X, E) , \quad 1 \leq \overline{p} < p .$$

Fix  $p \in [1, p_1]$  and  $s \in (s_0, s_1)$  such that  $t := s - n(1/p - 1/p_0) < s$  and suppose that  $s_0 < \sigma < \tau < t$ . Then we infer from (4.1)–(4.3), Theorem 4.4, and the above embedding that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1}(X, E_1) &\hookrightarrow B_{p,q_1}^s(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow B_{p_0,q_1}^t(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow B_{p_0,q_0}^\tau(X, E_1) \\ &\hookrightarrow B_{p_0,q_0}^\sigma(X, E_0) \hookrightarrow b_{p_0,q_0}^{s_0}(X, E_0) , \end{aligned}$$

where the last embedding follows from (4.11).

(ii) Fix  $\sigma_j < s_j$  such that  $s - n/p < \sigma_\theta - n/p_\theta$ . Then

$$B_{p_0,q_0}^{s_0}(X, E_0) \cap B_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow B_{p_0,p_0}^{\sigma_0}(X, E_0) \cap B_{p_1,p_1}^{\sigma_1}(X, E_1) .$$

Since

$$B_{p_0,p_0}^{\sigma_0}(X, E_0) \cap B_{p_1,p_1}^{\sigma_1}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow B_{p_j,p_j}^{\sigma_j}(X, E_j) , \quad j = 0, 1 ,$$

interpolation gives

$$\begin{aligned} B_{p_0,p_0}^{\sigma_0}(X, E_0) \cap B_{p_1,p_1}^{\sigma_1}(X, E_1) &\hookrightarrow (B_{p_0,p_0}^{\sigma_0}(X, E_0), B_{p_1,p_1}^{\sigma_1}(X, E_1))_{\theta, p_\theta} \\ &= B_{p_\theta,p_\theta}^{\sigma_\theta}(X, (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p_\theta}) , \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 4.3. Now it suffices to apply (i). ■

## 5. Sobolev-Slobodeckii Spaces on $X$

As an easy consequence of the preceding results we obtain the following vector-valued version of the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Suppose that  $E_1 \hookrightarrow E_0$ . If  $s_1 > s_0$  and  $s_1 - n/p_1 > s_0 - n/p_0$  then*

$$W_{p_1}^{s_1}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow W_{p_0}^{s_0}(X, E_0) .$$

*If  $0 \leq s < s_1 - n/p_1$  then*

$$W_{p_1}^{s_1}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow c^s(\overline{X}, E_0) .$$

**Proof** Fix  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \in (s_0, s_1)$  with  $\sigma_1 > \sigma_0$  such that  $\sigma_1 - n/p_1 > \sigma_0 - n/p_0$ . Then (4.5), (4.6), and Corollary 4.5(i) imply

$$W_{p_1}^{s_1}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow B_{p_1, p_1}^{\sigma_1}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow b_{p_0, p_0}^{\sigma_0}(X, E_0) .$$

Now the assertion follows from (4.10) and (4.5). ■

It is also easy to prove a compact embedding theorem involving intersections of Sobolev-Slobodeckii spaces as well as interpolation spaces  $E_\theta$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** *Suppose that*

$$E_1 \hookrightarrow E_0 \quad \text{and} \quad (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, p_\theta} \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow E_0 . \quad (5.1)$$

*Then*

$$W_{p_0}^{s_0}(X, E_0) \cap W_{p_1}^{s_1}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow W_p^s(X, E) , \quad (5.2)$$

*provided*

$$s < s_\theta \quad \text{and} \quad s - n/p < s_\theta - n/p_\theta . \quad (5.3)$$

*If  $0 \leq s < s_\theta - n/p_\theta$  then*

$$W_{p_0}^{s_0}(X, E_0) \cap W_{p_1}^{s_1}(X, E_1) \hookrightarrow c^s(\overline{X}, E) . \quad (5.4)$$

**Proof** Since  $E_1 \hookrightarrow E_0$ , interpolation theory guarantees that

$$E_1 \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\vartheta, p_\vartheta} \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\theta, 1} , \quad \theta < \vartheta < 1 .$$

Hence (4.2) and the second part of (5.1) show that  $(E_0, E_1)_{\vartheta, p_\vartheta} \hookrightarrow E$ . Fix  $\vartheta \in (\theta, 1)$  sufficiently close to  $\theta$  such that  $s - n/p < s_\vartheta - n/p_\vartheta$  if (5.3) holds, and such that  $s < p_\vartheta - n/p_\vartheta$  if  $s_\vartheta - n/p_\vartheta > 0$ . Now the assertion is an easy consequence of Corollary 4.5(ii) and (4.1), (4.5), and (4.6). ■

**Remarks 5.3.**

(a) Suppose that  $H$  is a Hilbert space. Then  $u$  belongs to  $W_2^s(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$ , where  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , iff  $u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$  and

$$(\xi \mapsto |\xi|^{2s} \widehat{u}(\xi)) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, H) ,$$

with  $\widehat{u}$  denoting the Fourier transform of  $u$ . Thus assumption (5.1), modulo Theorem 5.2, generalizes a result of J.-L. Lions (cf. [Lio61, Théorème IV.2.2] and [Lio69,

Théorème I.5.2]), who considers the case  $n = 1$ ,  $p = 2$ , and  $s_1 = 0$  with  $E$ ,  $E_0$ , and  $E_1$  being Hilbert spaces satisfying  $E_1 \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow E_0$ .

(b) Theorem 1.1 also improves Corollary 9 of [Sim87] which, for  $n = 1$ , guarantees the validity of (5.2)–(5.4) for  $s = 0$ .

(c) Observe that there are no sign restrictions for  $s$ ,  $s_0$ , and  $s_1$  in (5.3). Hence the first part of Theorem 5.2 is also valid if  $s_0 < 0$ , for example. In this connection it is important to know that, similarly as in the scalar case, Sobolev-Slobodeckii spaces of negative order can be characterized by duality. More precisely: Denote by  $\mathring{W}_p^s(X, E)$  the closure of  $\mathcal{D}(X, E)$  in  $W_p^s(X, E)$ . Then, given a reflexive Banach space  $F$ ,

$$W_p^{-s}(X, F) \doteq [\mathring{W}_p^s(X, F')]', \quad 1 < p < \infty ,$$

and

$$W_1^{-s}(X, F) \doteq [c^s(\overline{X}, F')]', \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N} ,$$

with respect to the duality pairing induced by

$$\langle u', u \rangle := \int_X \langle u'(x), u(x) \rangle_{F'} dx , \quad u, u' \in \mathcal{D}(X, E) , \quad (5.5)$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{F'} : F \times F' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is the duality pairing between  $F$  and  $F'$ .

Consequently, if  $1 < p < \infty$  then a subset  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $W_p^{-s}(X, F)$  is bounded iff there exists a constant  $c$  such that

$$|\langle v, \varphi \rangle| \leq c \|\varphi\|_{s, p'} , \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X, F') , \quad v \in \mathcal{V} . \quad (5.6)$$

Similarly, a subset  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $W_1^{-s}(X, F)$  is bounded iff (5.6) holds for all  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\overline{X}, F')$ . In concrete situations, estimates of this type are often rather easy to establish.

**Proof** Note that (5.5) extends by continuity from  $\mathcal{D}(X, F) \times \mathcal{D}(X, F')$  to a bilinear form on  $W_p^{-s}(X, F) \times W_p^s(X, F')$  and from  $\mathcal{D}(X, F) \times C^\infty(\overline{X}, F')$  to such a form on  $W_1^{-s}(X, F) \times c^s(\overline{X}, F')$ . For a proof of the duality assertions we refer to [Ama99, Chapter VII]. ■

(d) Suppose that (5.1) is satisfied and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . Then

$$\partial^\alpha : W_{p_0}^{s_0}(X, E_0) \cap W_{p_1}^{s_1}(X, E_1) \rightarrow W_p^s(X, E) \text{ compactly ,}$$

provided

$$s < s_\theta \quad \text{and} \quad s - n/p < s_\theta - |\alpha| - n/p_\theta .$$

If  $0 \leq s < s_\theta - |\alpha| - n/p_\theta$  then

$$\partial^\alpha : W_{p_0}^{s_0}(X, E_0) \cap W_{p_1}^{s_1}(X, E_1) \rightarrow c^s(\overline{X}, E) \text{ compactly .}$$

This generalizes Théorème 2 of [Aub63] as well as Simon's extension of it [Sim87, Corollary 10].

**Proof** Since

$$\partial^\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(W_{p_0}^{s_0}(X, E_0) \cap W_{p_1}^{s_1}(X, E_1), W_{p_0}^{s_0 - |\alpha|}(X, E_0) \cap W_{p_1}^{s_1 - |\alpha|}(X, E_1)) ,$$

the assertion follows from Theorem 5.2. ■

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to derive Theorem 1.1 from the preceding results we need some preparation.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Set*

$$V := V_{p_0, p_1}(E_0, E_1) := \{v \in L_{p_1}((0, T), E_1) ; \partial v \in L_{p_0}((0, T), E_0)\} .$$

Then  $V \doteq W_{p_0}^1((0, T), E_0) \cap L_{p_1}((0, T), E_1)$ .

**Proof** It is clear that  $V$  is a Banach space and that

$$W_{p_0}^1((0, T), E_0) \cap L_{p_1}((0, T), E_1) \hookrightarrow V .$$

Moreover,

$$V \hookrightarrow C([0, T], E_0) \hookrightarrow L_{p_0}((0, T), E_0) ,$$

where we refer to [Tri78, Lemma 1.8.1], for example, for a proof of the first embedding. Now the assertion is obvious. ■

Put  $X_h := X \cap (X - h)$  for  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and suppose that  $p < \infty$ . Also set

$$[u]_{\theta, p, \infty} := \sup_{\substack{h \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ h \neq 0}} \frac{\|u(\cdot + h) - u\|_{L_p(X_h, E)}}{|h|^\theta}$$

and, given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$N_p^{m+\theta}(X, E) := \left( \{u \in L_p(X, E) ; [\partial^\alpha u]_{\theta, p, \infty} < \infty, |\alpha| = m\}, \|\cdot\|_{m+\theta, p, \infty} \right) ,$$

where

$$\|u\|_{m+\theta, p, \infty} := \|u\|_p + \max_{|\alpha|=m} [\partial^\alpha u]_{\theta, p, \infty} .$$

Then  $N_p^s(X, E)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N}$ , are the Nikol'skii spaces of  $E$ -valued distributions on  $X$ . The proof for the scalar case (e.g., [Tri78, Section 2.5.1]) carries over to the vector-valued case to show that

$$N_p^s(X, E) \doteq B_{p, \infty}^s(X, E) , \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N} , \quad (6.1)$$

(cf. [Ama99, Section VII.3]).

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Clearly, we can assume that  $p_0 \vee p_1 < \infty$ .

Let (1.7) be satisfied. Then (1.2), (1.3), and Lemma 6.1 imply that  $\mathcal{V}$  is bounded in  $W_{p_0}^1((0, T), E_0) \cap L_{p_1}((0, T), E_1)$ . Hence the assertion is entailed by Theorem 5.2.

Suppose that assumption (1.8) is fulfilled. Then (6.1) shows that  $\mathcal{V}$  is bounded in  $B_{p_0, \infty}^{s_0}((0, T), E_0)$ . Hence it is bounded in  $B_{p_0, \infty}^{s_0}((0, T), E_0) \cap L_{p_1}((0, T), E_1)$  by (1.6). Thus (4.1) and (4.6) imply that  $\mathcal{V}$  is bounded in  $B_{p_0, \infty}^{s_0}((0, T), E_0) \cap B_{p_1, p_1}^{s_1}((0, T), E_1)$  for each  $s_1 < 0$ . Now the assertion follows from Corollary 4.5(ii) by means of the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 5.2. ■

## 7. Final Remarks

So far we have not put any restriction, like reflexivity for example, on the Banach spaces under consideration. However, in order to prove an  $n$ -dimensional analogue to Lemma 6.1 we need such an additional assumption. For this we recall that a Banach space  $F$  is a UMD space if the Hilbert transform is a continuous self-map of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n, F)$ . Every UMD space is reflexive (but not conversely), and every Hilbert space is a UMD space. The class of UMD spaces enjoys many useful permanence properties. For example, each closed subspace of a UMD space is again a UMD space. For details and more information we refer to [Ama95, Subsection III.4.5].

**Example 7.1.** Suppose that  $\Omega$  is an open subset of some euclidean space. Then  $W_p^s(\Omega)$  and every closed linear subspace thereof are UMD spaces, provided  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Proof** If  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $W_p^m(\Omega)$  is well-known to be isomorphic to a closed linear subspace of the  $M$ -fold product of  $L_p(\Omega)$ , where  $M := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} 1$ . Hence  $W_p^m(\Omega)$  is a UMD space by Theorem III.4.5.2 in [Ama95]. Consequently,  $\dot{W}_p^m(\Omega)$  is a UMD space as well. Thus  $W_p^{-m}(\Omega) = [\dot{W}_p^m(\Omega)]'$  is also a UMD space, as follows from part (v) of Theorem III.4.5.2 in [Ama95]. Finally, part (vii) of that theorem, together with (3.5) and (3.7), implies the assertion. ■

If  $F$  is a UMD space then the Sobolev-Slobodeckii spaces  $W_p^s(X, F)$  possess essentially the same properties as their scalar ancestors, provided  $1 < p < \infty$ . This is seen, for example, by the following proposition.

**Proposition 7.2.** *Suppose that  $F$  is a UMD space and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then, given  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$u \mapsto \|u\|_{s,p} + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{s,p}$$

*is an equivalent norm for  $W_p^{s+m}(X, F)$ .*

**Proof** If  $F$  is a UMD space then Mihlin's multiplier theorem is valid in  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, F)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  (and scalar symbols) (e.g., [Ama95, Theorem III.4.4.3]). Thus the well-known proof for scalar Sobolev spaces extends to the vector-valued setting in this case. ■

**Corollary 7.3.** *Suppose that  $E_0$  is a UMD space and  $1 < p_0 < \infty$ . Then*

$$W_{p_0}^m(X, E_0) \cap L_{p_1}(X, E_1) = \{ u \in L_{p_1}(X, E_1) ; \partial^\alpha u \in L_{p_0}(X, E_0), |\alpha| = m \}$$

*for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$ .*

Lastly, we show that, in practice, the assumption that we can squeeze an interpolation space between  $E$  and  $E_1$  is no serious restriction. In other words: in most applications assumption (1.6) is satisfied.

**Remark 7.4.** In concrete applications it is most often the case that  $E_j := W_{r_j}^{\sigma_j}(\Omega)$  for  $j = 0, 1$  and  $E := W_r^\sigma(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma_1$

are real numbers with  $\sigma_0 < \sigma < \sigma_1$ , and  $r, r_0, r_1 \in [1, \infty)$ . Thanks to the classical Rellich-Kondrachov theorem  $E_1 \hookrightarrow E_0$ . Suppose that  $\sigma_0 - d/r_0 < \sigma - d/r < \sigma_1 - d/r_1$ . Fix  $\vartheta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\sigma - d/r < \sigma_\vartheta - d/r_\vartheta < \sigma_1 - d/r_1, \quad \sigma < \sigma_\vartheta < \sigma_1,$$

and  $\sigma_\vartheta \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we infer from (4.1) and (4.7) that

$$E_1 \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\vartheta, 1} \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\vartheta, r_\vartheta} \doteq W_{r_\vartheta}^{\sigma_\vartheta}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow E,$$

since, by making  $\sigma_1$  slightly smaller and  $\sigma_0$  slightly bigger, if necessary, we can suppose that  $W_{r_j}^{\sigma_j}(\Omega) = B_{r_j, r_j}^{\sigma_j}(\Omega)$  for  $j = 0, 1$ . ■

For simplicity, we presupposed throughout that  $X$  be smooth. However, everything remains valid if we drop this hypothesis and assume instead that  $r_X$  possesses a core-contraction with the properties stated in Theorem 4.1. This is known to be the case for a much wider class of subdomains of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We do not go into detail but refer to [Ama99]. The same observation applies to  $\Omega$ , of course.

## References

- [Ama95] H. Amann. *Linear and Quasilinear Parabolic Problems, Volume I: Abstract Linear Theory*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
- [Ama97] H. Amann. Operator-valued Fourier multipliers, vector-valued Besov spaces, and applications. *Math. Nachr.*, **186** (1997), 5–56.
- [Ama99] H. Amann. *Linear and Quasilinear Parabolic Problems, Volume II: Function Spaces and Linear Differential Operators*. 1999. In preparation.
- [Aub63] J.-P. Aubin. Un théorème de compacité. *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, **256** (1963), 5042–5044.
- [BL76] J. Bergh, J. Löfström. *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [Dub65] J.A. Dubinskii. Weak convergence for elliptic and parabolic equations (Russian). *Math. USSR Sbornik*, **67** (1965), 609–642.
- [Gri66] P. Grisvard. Commutativité de deux foncteurs d'interpolation et applications. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **45** (1966), 143–290.
- [Ham75] R. Hamilton. *Harmonic Maps on Manifolds with Boundary*. Lecture Notes in Math. #471, Springer Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [Lio61] J.-L. Lions. *Equations Différentielles Opérationnelles et Problèmes aux Limites*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1961.
- [Lio69] J.-L. Lions. *Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites Non Linéaires*. Dunod, 1969.
- [LM72] J.-L. Lions, E. Magenes. *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications I*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [Lun95] A. Lunardi. *Analytic Semigroups and Optimal Regularity in Parabolic Equations*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
- [Mig95] S. Migorski. A counterexample to a compact embedding theorem for functions with values in a Hilbert space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **123** (1995), 2447–2449.
- [Sch86] H.-J. Schmeisser. Vector-valued Sobolev and Besov spaces. In *Sem. Analysis 1985/86*, pages 4–44. Teubner Texte Math. **96**, 1986.
- [Sim87] J. Simon. Compact sets in the space  $L^p(0, T; B)$ . *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **146** (1987), 65–96.
- [Sim90] J. Simon. Sobolev, Besov and Nikolskii fractional spaces: imbeddings and comparisons for vector valued spaces on an interval. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **157** (1990), 117–148.

- [Tri78] H. Triebel. *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [Tri83] H. Triebel. *Theory of Function Spaces*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.
- [Tri92] H. Triebel. *Function Spaces II*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.
- [Tri97] H. Triebel. *Fractals and Spectra Related to Fourier Analysis and Function Spaces*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997.

Institut für Mathematik  
Universität Zürich  
Winterthurerstr. 190  
CH-8057 Zürich  
Switzerland