

## LECTURE 14: GEOMETRY OF NUMBERS

[NON-EXAMINABLE]

### 1. MINKOWSKI CONVEX BODY THEOREM

Let us consider a (bounded) region  $D$  in the  $n$ -dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . We would like to investigate whether this region contains any points with integral coordinates. This basic geometric problem has numerous applications in Number Theory. Normally, we assume that the domain  $D$  is sufficiently nice, so that we can compute its volume  $v(D)$ .

Our starting point is the following continuous version of the Pigeon-Hole Principle.

**Theorem 1.1** (Blichfeldt Principle). *If  $D$  is a (bounded) region in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $v(D) > 1$ , then there exist two vectors  $v_1 \neq v_2 \in D$  such that  $v_1 - v_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .*

For simplicity, we assume that  $n = 2$ . Essentially the same proof works in any dimension.

*Proof.* We consider the partition of the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into squares:

$$\mathbb{R}^2 = \bigsqcup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} B_z \quad \text{where} \quad B_z = \{(x_1, x_2) : z_1 \leq x_1 < z_1 + 1, z_2 \leq x_2 < z_2 + 1\}.$$

Then

$$D = \bigsqcup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} D_z \quad \text{where} \quad D_z = B_z \cap D.$$

We observe

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} v(D_z) = v(D) > 1.$$

Suppose that the sets  $D_z - z$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , are all disjoint. Then since all these sets are contained in  $B_0$ , it would follow that

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} v(D_z - z) \leq v(B_0) = 1.$$

However,  $v(D_z - z) = v(D_z)$  and this contradicts the previous estimate. Hence, we conclude that there exists  $z_1 \neq z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  such that

$$(D_{z_1} - z_1) \cap (D_{z_2} - z_2) \neq \emptyset,$$

namely, for some  $v_1 \in D_{z_1}$  and  $v_2 \in D_{z_2}$ , we have  $v_1 - z_1 = v_2 - z_2$ . This implies the theorem.  $\square$

We say that the domain  $D$  is *convex* if

$$x_1, x_2 \in D \Rightarrow tx_1 + (1-t)x_2 \in D \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

The domain  $D$  is *centrally symmetric* if

$$x \in D \Rightarrow -x \in D.$$

**Theorem 1.2** (Minkowski Convex Body Theorem). *Let  $C$  be a (bounded) convex centrally symmetric region in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $v(C) > 2^n$ . Then  $C$  contains a non-zero integral vector.*

*Proof.* Let  $D = \frac{1}{2}C$ . Then  $v(D) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n v(C) > 1$ , and we may apply the Blichfeldt Principle. Hence, there exist  $v_1 \neq v_2 \in D$  such that  $v_1 - v_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Since

$$v_1 - v_2 = \frac{1}{2}(2v_1) + \frac{1}{2}(-2v_2) \in C,$$

this implies the theorem.  $\square$

## 2. APPLICATIONS

We prove a version of the Dirichlet Theorem for simultaneous approximation.

**Theorem 2.1** (Dirichlet). *Let  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$  be real numbers. For any integer  $Q \geq 1$ , there exist  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q = 1, \dots, Q$  such that*

$$\left| \theta_i - \frac{p_i}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{qQ^{1/n}} \text{ for all } i.$$

*Proof.* We consider the region  $C$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  defined by

$$-(Q+1) < x_0 < (Q+1), \quad \theta_i x_0 - Q^{-1/n} < x_i < \theta_i x_0 + Q^{-1/n}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Since

$$v(C) = 2(Q+1)(2Q^{-1/n})^n > 2^{n+1},$$

it follows from Minkowski's Theorem, there exists nonzero integral vector  $z = (q, p_1, \dots, p_n) \in C$ . If  $q = 0$ , then  $|p_i| < 1$  and  $p_i = 0$  for all  $i$ , which is not possible. Hence,  $q \neq 0$ . Changing  $z$  to  $-z$  if it is necessary, we can arrange that  $q > 0$ . This gives the required result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *A positive integer is a sum of two squares if and only if it is of the form  $p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_s^{r_s}$  where  $p_i$ 's are primes, and  $r_i$ 's are even when  $p_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = n$  and a prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  divides  $n$ . If  $p$  also divides  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , then also  $p^2 | n$ . Hence, we obtain  $(x_1/p)^2 + (x_2/p)^2 = n/p^2$ . On the other hand,  $x_1$  or  $x_2$  is coprime to  $p$ , then it follows that the congruence  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  has solution, but this impossible since  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . By induction on  $n$ , we deduce that  $n$  is of the form  $p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_s^{r_s}$  where  $r_i$ 's are even when  $p_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . We show that  $p$  can be as a sum of two squares. Our assumption on  $p$  implies that there exists an integer  $r$  such that  $r^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . We look for solutions of the form

$$p = (pz_1 + rz_2)^2 + z_2^2.$$

We observe that

$$(pz_1 + rz_2)^2 + z_2^2 \equiv (r^2 + 1)z_2^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (2.1)$$

We apply the Minkowski Theorem to the ellipsoid

$$C_R = \{(x_1, x_2) : (px_1 + rx_2)^2 + x_2^2 < R^2\}.$$

Since  $v(C_{\sqrt{2p}}) = \pi(\sqrt{2p})^2/p > 2^2$ , there exists nonzero  $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  such that

$$0 < (pz_1 + rz_2)^2 + z_2^2 < 2p.$$

Because of (2.1), it follows that  $(pz_1 + rz_2)^2 + z_2^2 = p$ .

The proof of general  $n$  follows from the formula

$$(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + y_2^2) = (x_1y_1 - x_2y_2)^2 + (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)^2.$$

□

**Theorem 2.3** (Lagrange). *Every positive integer can be written as a sum of four squares.*

*Remark 2.4.* The congruence  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  has no solutions, so that this theorem is not true for sums of three squares.

*Proof.* First, we show that every prime  $p$  can be written as

$$p = n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 + n_4^2.$$

It follows from the Chevalley Theorem that the congruence  $u^2 + v^2 + w^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  has a non-zero solutions. This implies that there exist  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $r^2 + s^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . We shall look for solutions of the form

$$n_1 = pz_1 + rz_3 + sz_4, \quad n_2 = pz_2 + sz_3 - rz_4, \quad n_3 = z_3, \quad n_4 = z_4$$

with  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 + n_4^2 &\equiv (rz_3 + sz_4)^2 + (sz_3 - rz_4)^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^2 \\ &\equiv (r^2 + s^2 + 1)(z_3^2 + z_4^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We apply the Minkowski Theorem to the ellipsoid

$$C_R = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) : (px_1 + rx_3 + sx_4)^2 + (px_2 + sx_3 - rx_4)^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 < R^2\}.$$

A volume computation shows that  $v(C_R) = \frac{1}{2}\pi R^4 p^{-2}$ . Then

$$v(C_{\sqrt{2p}}) = 2\pi^2 > 2^4,$$

and by the Minkowski Theorem, there exists non-zero  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$  such that

$$0 < (pz_1 + rz_3 + sz_4)^2 + (pz_2 + sx_3 - rz_4)^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^2 < 2p.$$

In view of (2.2), we conclude that

$$(pz_1 + rz_3 + sz_4)^2 + (pz_2 + sx_3 - rz_4)^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^2 = p.$$

To give proof for general integers, we use the identity

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2)(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 + y_4^2) &= (x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + x_4y_4)^2 \\ &\quad + (x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 + x_3y_4 - x_4y_3)^2 \\ &\quad + (x_1y_3 - x_2y_4 - x_3y_1 + x_4y_2)^2 \\ &\quad + (x_1y_4 + x_2y_3 - x_3y_2 - x_4y_1)^2.\end{aligned}$$

□