## LECTURE 7: POLYNOMIAL CONGRUENCES TO PRIME POWER MODULI

## 1. Hensel Lemma for nonsingular solutions

Although there is no analogue of Lagrange's Theorem for prime power moduli, there is an algorithm for determining when a solution modulo $p$ generates solutions to higher power moduli. The motivation comes from Newton's method for approximating roots over the real numbers.

Suppose that $x=a$ is a solution of the polynomial congruence

$$
f(x) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j}\right),
$$

and we want to use it to get a solution modulo $p^{j+1}$. Th idea is to search for solutions of the form $x=a+t p^{j}$. The Taylor expansion gives

$$
f\left(a+t p^{j}\right)=f(a)+t p^{j} f^{\prime}(a)+t^{2} p^{2 j} f^{\prime \prime}(a) / 2!+\cdots+t^{n} p^{n j} f^{(n)}(a) / n!
$$

where $n$ is the degree of $f$. Despite the presence of reciprocals of factorials, the coefficients in the above Taylor expansion are necessarily integral. Indeed, if $f(x)=x^{m}$ then $f^{(k)}(a) / k!=\binom{m}{k} a^{m-k} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and it follows for general $f$ by linearity. Hence,

$$
f\left(a+t p^{j}\right)=f(a)+t p^{j} f^{\prime}(a)\left(\bmod p^{j+1}\right) .
$$

Since $p^{j} \mid f(a)$, the congruence $f\left(a+t p^{j}\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j+1}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$
t f^{\prime}(a) \equiv-\frac{f(a)}{p^{j}}(\bmod p)
$$

This congruences have either zero, one, or $p$ solutions. In the case when $f^{\prime}(a) \not \equiv$ $0(\bmod p)$, it has exactly one solution. We conclude:

Theorem 1.1 (Hensel Lemma). Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Suppose that

$$
f(a) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad f^{\prime}(a) \not \equiv 0(\bmod p) .
$$

Then there exists a unique $t(\bmod p)$ such that

$$
f\left(a+t p^{j}\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j+1}\right) .
$$

Hensel's lemma implies that every a solution $x_{j}$ of $f(x) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j}\right)$ satisfying $f^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right) \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ lifts to a unique solution $x_{j+1}$ of $f(x) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j+1}\right)$ such that $x_{j+1} \equiv x_{j}\left(\bmod p^{j}\right)$. This solution could be computed using the recursive formula:

$$
x_{j+1}=x_{j}-f\left(x_{j}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)^{-1}\left(\bmod p^{j+1}\right),
$$

where $f^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)^{-1}$ denotes the multiplicative inverse of $f^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)$ modulo $p$.
Example 1.2. Solve the congruence $x^{3}+x+4 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 7^{3}\right)$.
(I) We first solve the corresponding congruence modulo 7 , since any solution $x$ modulo $7^{3}$ must also satisfy $x^{3}+x+4 \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$. By an exhaustive search ( $\operatorname{try} x=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$ ), we find that the only solution is $x \equiv 2(\bmod 7)$.
(II) Next, we try to solve the corresponding congruence modulo $7^{2}$, since any solution $x$ modulo $7^{3}$ must also satisfy $x^{3}+x+4 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 7^{2}\right)$. But such solutions must also satisfy the corresponding solution modulo 7 , so $x \equiv 2(\bmod 7)$. Then we put $x=2+7 y$ and substitute. We need to solve

$$
(2+7 y)^{3}+(2+7 y)+4 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 7^{2}\right)
$$

Notice that when we use the Binomial Theorem to expand the cube, any terms involving $7^{2}$ or $7^{3}$ can be ignored. Thus we need to solve

$$
\left(2^{3}+3 \cdot 2^{2} \cdot 7 y\right)+(2+7 y)+4=14+13 \cdot 7 y \equiv 0\left(\bmod 7^{2}\right)
$$

or equivalently,

$$
13 y+2 \equiv-y+2 \equiv 0(\bmod 7)
$$

Then we put $y=2$ and find that $x=2+7 y=16$ satisfies the congruence $x^{3}+x+4 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 7^{2}\right)$.
(III) We can now repeat the previous strategy (and in fact, we can repeat this as many times as necessary). So we substitute $x=16+7^{2} z$ and solve for $z$ to obtain a solution modulo $7^{3}$. Thus we need to solve
$\left(16+7^{2} z\right)^{3}+\left(16+7^{2} z\right)+4 \equiv\left(16^{3}+3 \cdot 16^{2} \cdot 7^{2} z\right)+\left(16+7^{2} z\right)+4 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 7^{3}\right)$.
But $16^{3}+16+4$ is divisible by $7^{2}$ (why do we know this?), and in fact is equal to $84 \cdot 7^{2}$. Then we need to solve

$$
84 \cdot 7^{2}+\left(3 \cdot 16^{2}+1\right) \cdot 7^{2} z \equiv 0\left(\bmod 7^{3}\right),
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\left(3 \cdot 16^{2}+1\right) z+84 \equiv 0(\bmod 7),
$$

or $13 z \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$. So we put $z=0$, and find that $x \equiv 16\left(\bmod 7^{3}\right)$ solves $x^{3}+x+4 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 7^{3}\right)$.
Example 1.3. Let $f(x)=x^{2}+1$. Find the solutions of the congruence $f(x) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 5^{4}\right)$.

Observe that the congruence $x^{2}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$ has the solutions $x \equiv$ $\pm 2(\bmod 5)$ (note that there are at most 2 solutions modulo 5, by Lagrange's theorem). Consider first the solution $x_{1}=2$ of the latter congruence. One finds that $f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=2 x_{1} \equiv-1(\bmod 5)$. It follows that $5 \backslash f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)$, and since $f\left(x_{1}\right)=5 \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$, we may apply Hensel's iteration to find integers $x_{n}$ $(n \geqslant 1)$ with $f\left(x_{n}\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 5^{n}\right)$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{2} \equiv x_{1}-\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)} \equiv 2-\frac{5}{-1} \equiv 7\left(\bmod 5^{2}\right), \\
& x_{3} \equiv 7-\frac{50}{14} \equiv 7-\frac{50}{-1} \equiv 57\left(\bmod 5^{3}\right) \\
& x_{4} \equiv 57-\frac{3250}{114} \equiv 57-\frac{3250}{-1} \equiv 3307 \equiv 182\left(\bmod 5^{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $x=182$ provides a solution of the congruence $x^{2}+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 5^{4}\right)$. Proceeding similarly, one may lift the alternate solution $x=-2$ to the congruence $x^{2}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$ to obtain the solution $x \equiv-182\left(\bmod 5^{4}\right)$. Note that in each instance, the lifting process provided by Hensel's lemma led to a unique residue modulo $5^{4}$ corresponding to each starting solution modulo 5 .

## 2. Hensel Lemma in general

Now we consider the problem of lifting solutions when $f^{\prime}(a) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.
Example 2.1. Let $f(x)=x^{2}-4 x+13$. Find all of the solutions of the congruence $f(x) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 3^{4}\right)$.

Notice that

$$
x^{2}-4 x+13 \equiv x^{2}+2 x+1 \equiv(x+1)^{2} \quad(\bmod 3),
$$

and hence $x \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$ is the only solution of the congruence $f(x) \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 3)$. Next, since $f^{\prime}(x)=2 x-4$, we find that $3 \mid f^{\prime}(-1)$, We proceed systematically:
(i) Observe first that all solutions satisfy $x \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$, and so any solution $x$ must satisfy $x \equiv 2,5$ or 8 modulo 9 . One may verify that all three residue classes satisfy $f(x) \equiv 0(\bmod 9)$.
(ii) Next we consider all residues modulo 27 satisfying $x \equiv 2,5$ or 8 modulo 9 , and find that none of these (there are 9 such residues) provide solutions of $f(x) \equiv 0(\bmod 27)$.

So there are no solutions to the congruence $x^{2}-4 x+13 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 3^{3}\right)$.
This example shows that solutions modulo $p$ in general may not lift to solutions modulo some higher powers of $p$, but not necessarily to solutions modulo arbitrarily high powers of $p$. Moreover, lifts of the solutions are not unique.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Suppose that

$$
f(a) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad p^{\tau} \| f^{\prime}(a) \cdot{ }^{1}
$$

Then if $j \geqslant 2 \tau+1$, whenever $b \equiv a\left(\bmod p^{j-\tau}\right)$, one has

$$
f(b) \equiv f(a)\left(\bmod p^{j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad p^{\tau} \| f^{\prime}(b)
$$

Proof. Writing $b=a+h p^{j-\tau}$ and applying Taylor's expansion, we obtain

$$
f(b)=f\left(a+h p^{j-\tau}\right)=f(a)+h p^{j-\tau} f^{\prime}(a)+\frac{1}{2!} f^{\prime \prime}(a)\left(h p^{j-\tau}\right)^{2}+\ldots
$$

The quadratic and higher terms in the above expansion are all divisible by $p^{2(j-\tau)}$. But $j \geqslant 2 \tau+1$, whence $2(j-\tau)=j+(j-2 \tau) \geqslant j+1$, and so

$$
f(b) \equiv f(a)+h p^{j-\tau} f^{\prime}(a)\left(\bmod p^{j}\right)
$$

Since $p^{\tau} \mid f^{\prime}(a)$, the latter shows that $f(b) \equiv f(a)\left(\bmod p^{j}\right)$.

[^0]Applying Taylor's theorem in like manner to $f^{\prime}$ one finds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(b)=f^{\prime}\left(a+h p^{j-\tau}\right) & \equiv f^{\prime}(a) \quad\left(\bmod p^{j-\tau}\right) \\
& \equiv f^{\prime}(a) \quad\left(\bmod p^{\tau+1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $j-\tau \geqslant \tau+1$. Then since $p^{\tau} \| f^{\prime}(a)$, one obtains $p^{\tau} \| f^{\prime}(b)$.
A good news is that a solution $f(x) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j}\right)$ gives rise to a solution $f(x) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j+1}\right)$ provided that $j$ is sufficiently large.
Theorem 2.3 (Hensel Lemma). Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Suppose that

$$
f(a) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad p^{\tau} \| f^{\prime}(a)
$$

Then if $j \geqslant 2 \tau+1$, there is a unique residue $t(\bmod p)$ such that

$$
f\left(a+t p^{j-\tau}\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j+1}\right)
$$

Proof. Since $p^{\tau} \| f^{\prime}(a)$, we may write $f^{\prime}(a)=g p^{\tau}$ for a suitable integer $g$ with $(g, p)=1$. Let $\bar{g}$ be any integer with $g \bar{g} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, and write

$$
a^{\prime}=a-\bar{g} f(a) p^{-\tau} .
$$

Then an application of Taylor's theorem on this occasion supplies the congruence

$$
f\left(a^{\prime}\right)=f\left(a-\bar{g} f(a) p^{-\tau}\right) \equiv f(a)-p^{-\tau} f(a) \bar{g} f^{\prime}(a)\left(\bmod p^{2(j-\tau)}\right)
$$

since $j>\tau$ and $p^{-\tau} \bar{g} f(a) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j-\tau}\right)$. But $2(j-\tau)=j+(j-2 \tau) \geqslant j+1$, and thus

$$
f\left(a^{\prime}\right) \equiv f(a)-\left(p^{-\tau} f(a) \bar{g}\right)\left(g p^{\tau}\right)=f(a)(1-g \bar{g}) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j+1}\right)
$$

So there exists an integer $t$ with $f\left(a+t p^{j-\tau}\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j+1}\right)$, and indeed one may take $t \equiv-p^{-j} f(a)\left(p^{-\tau} f^{\prime}(a)\right)^{-1}(\bmod p)$.

In order to establish the uniqueness of the integer $t$, suppose, if possible, that two such integers $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ exist. Then one has

$$
f\left(a+t_{1} p^{j-\tau}\right) \equiv 0 \equiv f\left(a+t_{2} p^{j-\tau}\right)\left(\bmod p^{j+1}\right)
$$

whence by Taylor's theorem, as above, one obtains

$$
f(a)+t_{1} p^{j-\tau} f^{\prime}(a) \equiv f(a)+t_{2} p^{j-\tau} f^{\prime}(a)\left(\bmod p^{j+1}\right)
$$

Thus $t_{1} f^{\prime}(a) \equiv t_{2} f^{\prime}(a)\left(\bmod p^{\tau+1}\right)$. Since $p^{\tau} \| f^{\prime}(a)$, we obtain $t_{1} \equiv t_{2}(\bmod p)$. This establishes the uniqueness of $t$ modulo $p$, completing our proof.

Example 2.4. Consider the polynomial $f(x)=x^{2}+x+223$. We observe that $f(4)=3^{5}$ and $f^{\prime}(4)=3^{2}$. So $f(4) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 3^{5}\right)$. Searching for solutions of $f(x) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 3^{6}\right)$ of the form $4+27 t$, we find that

$$
f(4+27 t) \equiv 3^{5}+3^{5} t\left(\bmod 3^{6}\right)
$$

and unique $t=2$ gives such a solution $f(58) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 3^{6}\right)$. Moreover, for any $t=0,1, \ldots 8$,

$$
f(58+81 t) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 3^{6}\right)
$$

Some concluding observations may be of assistance:
(i) Hensel's lemma allows one to lift repeatedly. Thus, whenever

$$
f(a) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j}\right) \text { and } p^{\tau} \| f^{\prime}(a) \text { with } j \geqslant 2 \tau+1
$$

then there exists a unique residue $t$ modulo $p$ such that, with $a^{\prime}=$ $a+t p^{j-\tau}$,

$$
f\left(a^{\prime}\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j+1}\right) \text { and } p^{\tau} \| f^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}\right) \text { with } j+1 \geqslant 2 \tau+1
$$

and then we are set up to repeat this process.
(ii) Notice that in Hensel's lemma, the residue $t$ modulo $p$ is unique, and given by

$$
t \equiv-\left(p^{-j} f(a)\right)\left(p^{-\tau} f^{\prime}(a)\right)^{-1}(\bmod p),
$$

so one only needs to compute $\left(p^{-\tau} f^{\prime}(a)\right)^{-1}$ modulo $p$. Moreover,

$$
p^{-\tau} f^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}\right) \equiv p^{-\tau} f^{\prime}(a)(\bmod p)
$$

so our initial inverse computation remains valid for subsequent lifting processes.
(iii) If $f(a) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j}\right)$ and $p^{\tau} \| f^{\prime}(a)$ and $j \geqslant 2 \tau+1$, then

$$
f\left(a+h p^{j-\tau}\right) \equiv f(a) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j}\right)
$$

So there are $p^{\tau}$ solutions of $f(x) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{j}\right)$ corresponding to the single solution $x \equiv a\left(\bmod p^{j}\right)$, namely $a+h p^{j-\tau}$ with $0 \leqslant h \leqslant p^{\tau}$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Recall that $p^{i} \| A$ means that $p^{i} \mid A$ and $p^{i+1} \nmid A$.

