

## Lecture 5: Simplicity of Lyapunov spectrum.

$\mu$  = prob. measure on  $GL(d, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\int_{GL(d, \mathbb{C})} \log^+ \|g\| d\mu(g) < \infty$ .

$\Omega = GL(d, \mathbb{C})^N$  with  $P = \mu^{\otimes N}$ ,

$X: \Omega \rightarrow GL(d, \mathbb{C}): \omega \mapsto \omega_1, \dots, \Theta: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega: (\omega_n) \mapsto (\omega_{n+1})$

$$S_n(\omega) = X(\Theta^{n-1}\omega) \dots X(\omega)$$

Recall that the Lyapunov exponents  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d$  are defined by (see Lecture III):

$$\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|A^n S_n(\omega)\|}{n}.$$

(In this case,  $\lambda_i$ 's are constant a.e.)

### Thm. 1 (Guivarc'h - Raugi)

Suppose that  $S_\mu$  is strongly irreducible. Then:

$\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \iff S_\mu$  is contracting.

Proof.  $\implies$  Write  $S_n = k_1^{(n)} a^{(n)} k_2^{(n)}$  where  $k_1^{(n)}, k_2^{(n)} \in SU(n)$  and  $a^{(n)} = \text{diag}(a_1^{(n)}, \dots, a_d^{(n)})$ ,  $a_1^{(n)} \geq \dots \geq a_d^{(n)} > 0$ .

Then  $\|S_n\| = (\text{max. eigenvalue of } (S_n^* S_n)^{1/2}) = a_1^{(n)}$ ,

$\|A^n S_n\| = (\text{max. eigenvalue of } A^n (S_n^* S_n)^{1/2}) = a_1^{(n)} a_2^{(n)}$ .

Hence,  $\lambda_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_i^{(n)}}{n}$ . If  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log (a_2^{(n)} / a_1^{(n)})}{n} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a_2^{(n)}}{a_1^{(n)}} \rightarrow 0.$$

(for a.e.  $\omega$ )

This implies that limit points of  $\frac{S_n}{\|S_n\|}$  are rank-1.]

Lem. Let  $T: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  be a measure-preserving map of a prob. space  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P})$ . Let  $f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} f^+ < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f \circ T^k \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} +\infty.$$

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^k > 0$ . (\*)

Proof. By Pointwise Ergodic Thm,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^k \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f_\infty,$$

where  $f_\infty$  is a  $T$ -inv. function.

According to our assumption,  $f_\infty \geq 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_\infty = \{f_\infty = 0\}$ . Then on  $\mathcal{S}_\infty$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^k \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} 0.$$

Let  $I_\varepsilon(t) = [t-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon]$ ,  $f_n(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k w)$ , and

$$R_n^\varepsilon(w) = \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^n I_\varepsilon(f_i(w)) \right|.$$

Then  $\forall \omega \in \mathcal{S}_\infty \forall \delta > 0: \forall n \geq n_0(\omega, \delta): |f_n(w)| \leq n\delta$ .

Hence,  $I_\varepsilon(f_n(w)) \subset I_{2n\delta + \varepsilon}(0)$ , and  $R_n^\varepsilon(w) \leq R_{n_0}^\varepsilon(w) + 2n\delta + \varepsilon$ .

Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathcal{R}_\infty} R_n^\varepsilon(\omega) d\omega \leq 2\varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and

$$\frac{1}{n} R_n^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} 0, \quad \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathcal{R}_\infty} R_n^\varepsilon d\omega \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $f_n \circ T = f_{n+1} - f_1$ , we have

$$R_{n+1}^\varepsilon - R_n^\varepsilon \circ T = \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} I_\varepsilon(f_i) \right| - \underbrace{\left| \bigcup_{i=1}^n I_\varepsilon(f_{i+1} - f_1) \right|}_{\substack{= \left| \bigcup_{i=2}^{n+1} I_\varepsilon(f_i) \right| \\ \uparrow \text{invariance of Lebesgue measure}}}.$$

Therefore,  $R_{n+1}^\varepsilon - R_n^\varepsilon \circ T \geq 2\varepsilon \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|f_i - f_1| > 2\varepsilon, i=2, \dots, n+1\}}$ ,

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right]$$

$I_\varepsilon(f_1)$        $I_\varepsilon(f_i)$

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_\infty} (R_{n+1}^\varepsilon - R_n^\varepsilon \circ T) d\omega = \int_{\mathcal{R}_\infty} R_{n+1}^\varepsilon d\omega - \int_{\mathcal{R}_\infty} R_n^\varepsilon d\omega \geq 2\varepsilon \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \mathcal{R}_\infty : |f_i(\omega) - f_1(\omega)| > 2\varepsilon, i=2, \dots, n+1\right).$$

Since  $T$  preserves  $P$ ,  $\mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \mathcal{R}_\infty : |f_i(\omega) - f_1(\omega)| > 2\varepsilon, i=2, \dots, n+1\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \mathcal{R}_\infty : |f_i(\omega)| > 2\varepsilon, i=1, \dots, n\right)$ .

This implies that

$$0 = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathcal{R}_\infty} R_n^\varepsilon d\omega \geq 2\varepsilon \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \mathcal{R}_\infty : |f_i(\omega)| > 2\varepsilon, i \geq 1\right)$$

We have shown that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ :

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \mathcal{R}_\infty : |f_i(\omega)| > 2\varepsilon, i \geq 1\right) = 0.$$

Equivalently,  $P(\omega \in \Omega_\infty : |f_{i+p}(\omega) - f_p(\omega)| > 2\epsilon, i \geq 1) = 0$ .

Hence,  $P(\omega \in \Omega_\infty : \exists p, k : \forall i \geq 1 : |f_{i+p}(\omega) - f_p(\omega)| > \frac{1}{2^k}) = 0$ , and  
 $\forall \omega \in \Omega_\infty : \forall p, k \exists i \geq 1 : |f_{i+p}(\omega) - f_p(\omega)| \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$ .

Using this, we construct a subsequence such that

$$|f_{n_{j+1}}(\omega) - f_{n_j}(\omega)| < \frac{1}{2^j}.$$

If  $P(\Omega_\infty) > 0$ , this contradicts the assumption that  
 $f_n(\omega) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.e.} \infty$ . ]

Proof of Thm. 1: ( $\Leftarrow$ )

For simplicity, we give proof assuming additionally that the action of  $S_n$  on  $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^d$  is also strongly irreducible and contracting.

By Cor. 2 (Lecture 4),

$$\inf_n \frac{\|S_n(w)v\|}{\|S_n(w)\|} > 0, \quad v \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\},$$

$$\inf_n \frac{\|\Lambda^2 S_n(w)\|}{\|(\Lambda^2 S_n)w\|} > 0, \quad w \in (\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^d) \setminus \{0\},$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_2^{(n)}}{\alpha_1^{(n)}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\Lambda^2 S_n\|}{\|S_n\|^2} = 0.$$

This implies that  $\forall \text{ nonzero } v_1 \in \mathbb{C}^d, v_2 \in \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^d$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|S_n v_1\|^2}{\|\Lambda^2 S_n v_2\|} \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} +\infty. \quad (***)$$

By the definition of Lyapunov exponents,

$$\lambda_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|S_n v_1\|}{n}, \quad (****)$$

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|\Lambda^2 S_n v_2\|}{n}.$$

Let  $P = P(\mathbb{C}^d) \times P(\wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^d)$ ,  $\nu = \nu_1 \otimes \nu_2$ , where  $\nu_i$ 's are (unique)  $\mu$ -stationary measures on the factors. We consider the action

$$GL(d, \mathbb{C}) \times P \longrightarrow P: (g, (\nu_1, \nu_2)) \mapsto (g\nu_1, \Lambda^2 g)\nu_2).$$

$$\text{Let } \sigma(g, (\nu_1, \nu_2)) = 2\log \frac{\|g\nu_1\|}{\|\nu_1\|} - \log \frac{\|\Lambda^2 g)\nu_2\|}{\|\nu_2\|}.$$

Then  $\sigma$  satisfies the cocycle property.  
(in fact,  $\sigma$  is a combination of 2 cocycles introduced in the proof of Thm. 2).

We consider a skew-product as in the proof of Thm. 2:  $\tilde{P} = \Omega \times P$ ,  $\tilde{\nu} = P \times \nu$ ,  $T: \tilde{P} \rightarrow \tilde{P}: (w, p) \mapsto (\theta(w), w, p)$ .

Then  $\sigma(S_n(w), x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k(w, x))$ , where  
 $f(w, x) = \sigma(w, x)$ .

By (\*\*), for a.e.  $w$ ,

$$\sigma(S_n(w), x) \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Therefore, by Lemma,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k(w, x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sigma(S_n(w), x) > 0$$

for a.e.  $(w, x)$ .

On the other hand, by (\*\*\*\*),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sigma(S_n(w), x) = 2\lambda_1 - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2.$$

Def. A subset  $S \subset GL(d, \mathbb{C})$  is called  
p-contracting if there exists sequence  $S_n \in S$   
such that  $\frac{S_n}{\|S_n\|}$  converges to rank-p matrix.

Thm 2 (<sup>Guivarc'h</sup><sub>Raugi</sub>) Assume that  $S_p$  is strongly  
irreducible and p-contracting. Then  
 $\lambda_p > \lambda_{p+1}$ .

Note that if  $S$  is  $p$ -contracting, then  
 the action of  $S$  on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^d)$  is contracting.  
 The proof is similar to the above proof.

### Quantitative contraction properties.

For  $x, y \in P = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ ,  $\delta(x, y) = \frac{\|x \wedge y\|}{\|x\| \cdot \|y\|}$ .

Note that  $\delta(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$ .

Thm. 3. Suppose that  $\int_{GL(d, \mathbb{C})} \log \|g\| d\mu(g) < \infty$ ,  
 and  $S_\mu$  is strongly irreducible and contracting.

Then:

$$1) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \delta(S_n(w)x, S_n(w)y) < 0$$

for  $x, y \in P$  and a.e.  $w$ .

$$2) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x, y} \frac{1}{n} \int \log \frac{\delta(S_n(w)x, S_n(w)y)}{\delta(x, y)} dw < 0.$$

Proof. We have:

$$\frac{S(S_n x, S_n y)}{S(x, y)} = \frac{\|S_n x \wedge S_n y\| \cdot \|x\| \cdot \|y\|}{\|S_n x\| \cdot \|S_n y\| \cdot \|x \wedge y\|} \leq \|A^2 S_n\| \cdot \frac{\|x\| \cdot \|y\|}{\|S_n x\| \cdot \|S_n y\|}.$$

For a.e.  $w$ ,  $\frac{1}{n} \log \|A^2 S_n(w)\| \rightarrow \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \frac{\|S_n(w)x\|}{\|x\|} \rightarrow \lambda_1 \quad (\text{see Cor 2(2) Lecture 4}).$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{S(S_n x, S_n y)}{S(x, y)} \leq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - 2\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 < 0$ .

We note that the estimate in Cor. 2(2) is uniform over  $x$  in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^d$  if  $\Omega$ .

Hence, by the dominated convergence thm,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x, y} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega} \log \frac{S(S_n(w)x, S_n(w)y)}{S(x, y)} dw \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega} \log \|A^2 S_n(w)\| dw + 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{\|x\|}{\|S_n(w)x\|} dw \\ & = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - 2\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thm. 4. (Le Page)

Assume that  $\int_{GL(d, \mathbb{C})} \|g^{\pm 1}\|^\tau d\mu(g) < \infty$  for some  $\tau > 0$ ,  
and  $S_\mu$  is strongly irreducible and contractable.  
Then  $\exists \alpha > 0, \beta \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\int_{\mathcal{Z}} \delta(S_n(w)x, S_n(w)y)^\alpha dw \leq \beta^n \cdot \delta(x, y)^\alpha.$$

Proof. Let  $Q_n = \sup_{x, y \in P} \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \left( \frac{\delta(S_n(w)x, S_n(w)y)}{\delta(x, y)} \right)^\alpha dw$ .

We need to show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log Q_n}{n} < 0$ .

Let  $\sigma(g, z) = \frac{\delta(gx, gy)}{\delta(x, y)}$  for  $z = (x, y) \in P \times P$ .

Then  $\sigma$  satisfies:  $\sigma(g_1 g_2, z) = \sigma(g_1, g_2 z) \cdot \sigma(g_2, z)$ .

Writing  $S_{n+m}(w) = \underbrace{w_{n+m} \dots w_{n+1}}_{w'} \cdot \underbrace{w_n \dots w_1}_{w''}$ ,

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n+m} &= \sup_z \int \sigma(w', w'' z)^\alpha \cdot \sigma(w'', z)^\alpha dw' dw'' \\ &\leq \sup_z \left( \int \sigma(w', w'' z)^\alpha dw' \right) \cdot \left( \sup_z \int \sigma(w'', z)^\alpha dw'' \right) \\ &\leq \left( \sup_z \int \sigma(w', z)^\alpha dw' \right) \left( \sup_z \int \sigma(w'', z)^\alpha dw'' \right) \\ &\leq Q_n \cdot Q_m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log Q_n}{n} = \inf \frac{\log Q_n}{n}$ .

It is sufficient to show that  $Q_n < 1$  for some  $n$ . We use the estimate:  $e^x \leq 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} \exp(|x|)$ . Then:

$$Q_n \leq 1 + \alpha \cdot \underbrace{\left( \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log \sigma(S_n(w), z) dw \right)}_{A_n} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \sup_z \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \log \sigma(S_n(w), z) \right)^2 \cdot \sigma(S_n(w), z)^\alpha dw. \underbrace{\quad}_{B_n}$$

By Thm 3 (2),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_n}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x, y} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\delta(S_n(w)x, S_n(w)y)}{\delta(x, y)} dw < 0.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$B_n \leq \sup_z \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log^4 \sigma(S_n(w), z) dw \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(S_n(w), z)^{2\alpha} dw \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

We have:

$$\sigma(g, z) = \frac{\|gx \wedge gy\| \cdot \|x\| \cdot \|y\|}{\|gx\| \cdot \|gy\| \cdot \|x \wedge y\|} \leq \|g^2\| \cdot \|g^{-1}\|^2 \leq \text{const} \cdot \|g\|^2 \cdot \|g^{-1}\|^2$$

$$\text{Hence, } \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(S_n(w), z)^{\beta} dw \leq \text{const} \cdot \left( \int_{GL(d, \mathbb{C})} \|g\|^{2\beta} \cdot \|g^{-1}\|^{2\beta} d\mu(g) \right)^n$$

is finite for sufficiently small  $\beta > 0$ .

This implies that  $B_n < \infty$  for sufficiently small  $\alpha$ .

Finally,  $Q_n \leq 1 + \alpha \cdot \underbrace{A_n}_{< 0} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \cdot \underbrace{B_n}_{< \infty}.$

Taking  $\alpha$  sufficiently small gives  $Q_n < 1.$  ]