

Lecture 7: Amenability.

$G =$ closed subgroup of $GL(d, \mathbb{F})$.

Def. G is called amenable if for every continuous action of G on compact space X ,
 $\exists G$ -inv. probability measure on X .

ex 1) If G is noncompact and totally irreducible, then by Furstenberg's lemma, $P(\mathbb{F}^d)$ has no G -inv. prob. measures, and G is not amenable.

2) If G is compact, then it is known that $\exists G$ -inv. measure m on G (Haar measure).

For action of G on X , we set

$$\int_X f d\mu = \int_G f(gx_0) dm(g).$$

Then m is G -inv.

Hence, G is compact.

1) Fix-point properties.

Thm. G -amenable \Leftrightarrow \forall continuous linear action of G on a locally convex top. vector space V
 \forall convex compact G -inv. $C \subset V$. $\neq \emptyset$
 \exists fixed point $x \in C$.

Proof. \Leftarrow Given an action G on compact space X , let $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{\text{prob. measures}\}$ equipped with weak* topology. Then $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is compact, and contains a fixed point, which is a G -inv. measure.

\Rightarrow We introduce barycenter map $b: \mathcal{P}(C) \rightarrow C$.

If $\mu = \sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{c_i}$, $c_i \in C$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $\sum_i \alpha_i = 1$, then

$$b(\mu) = \sum_i \alpha_i \cdot c_i. \quad \text{Note that}$$

$$b(g \cdot \mu) = g \cdot b(\mu). \quad (*)$$

One shows that b extends continuously to $\mathcal{P}(C)$, and $(*)$ holds. Then if $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(C)$ is G -inv., then $b(\mu) \in C$ is fixed by G .

Cor. If N is a closed normal subgroup of G such that N and G/N is amenable. Then G is amenable.

[Thm. Abelian groups are amenable.]

Proof. Consider a linear action of G on $\overbrace{\text{nonempty}}^{\text{convex}}$ compact set C . For $g \in G$, let $A_n(g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g^i$. Let S be the semigroup of linear maps generated by $A_n(g)$, $n \geq 1$, $g \in G$.

We claim that $C_\infty = \bigcap_{s \in S} s(C) \neq \emptyset$. Since $s(C)$ is compact, it is sufficient to show that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n s_i(C) \neq \emptyset. \text{ Let } s = s_1 \dots s_n.$$

Since S is abelian, $s(C) \subset s_i(C)$ for all i .

This implies the claim.

Take $c \in C_\infty$. Then $\forall n \geq 1: \forall g \in G: c = A_n(g) \cdot d$

for some $d \in C$, and

$$g \cdot c - c = \frac{g^{n+1}d - d}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Hence, c is fixed by G .

Cor. Solvable groups are amenable.

2) Invariant means.

$V =$ closed subspace of $L^\infty(G)$, $1 \in V$.

Def. A mean M on V is a linear map such that

$$M(1) = 1, \quad \forall \varphi \in V: \varphi \geq 0 \Rightarrow M(\varphi) \geq 0.$$

Rmk. We have $-\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq M(\varphi) \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$, so that

$|M(\varphi)| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$, and M is automatically continuous.

Def M is G -inv. if $M(g \cdot \varphi) = M(\varphi)$, $g \in G$.

The space of uniformly continuous bounded functions:

$$UCB(G) = \left\{ \varphi \in L^\infty(G) : \lim_{y \rightarrow e} \sup_{x \in G} |\varphi(y \cdot x) - \varphi(x)| = 0 \right\}$$

[Thm G is amenable $\iff \exists G$ -inv. mean on $V = UCB(G)$.]

Proof. \Rightarrow We equip the dual space V^* with weak* topology (i.e., weakest topology for which $\alpha \mapsto \alpha(v)$ is continuous $\forall v \in V$).

Then \mathcal{M} (= the space of means) is weak* closed, bounded subset. Hence, \mathcal{M} is (weak*) compact.

Also, $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$, since $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(e)$ is a mean.

Consider action of G on \mathcal{M} : $(g \cdot m)(\varphi) = m(g \cdot \varphi)$.

Since G is amenable, \exists fixed point which is a G -inv. mean.

\Leftarrow Consider linear action of G on nonempty convex compact set C . Fix $c_0 \in C$. For $f \in C(C)$, define $\hat{f}(g) = f(g \cdot c_0)$. Then $\hat{f} \in UCB(G)$.

Let M be a G -inv. mean on $UCB(G)$.

We define prob. measure on C by

$$\int_C f d\mu = M(\hat{f}), \quad f \in C(C).$$

Since $\widehat{g \cdot f} = g \cdot \hat{f}$, μ is G -inv., and
the barycenter $b(\mu) \in C$ is fixed by G .

3) Absence of spectral gap.

Fact: G is equipped with left G -inv. measure
(Haar measure).

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(G) = \left\{ f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \int_G |f(g)|^2 dg < \infty \right\}.$$

$$\text{For } g \in G, \quad \pi(g): \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

$$f \longmapsto f(g^{-1}x)$$

Fix $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $\int_G \varphi = 1$ such that $G = \overline{\langle \text{supp}(\varphi) \rangle}$,
 $\varphi(g^{-1}) = \varphi(g)$.

Let $\mu(g) = \varphi(g) dg$, and

$$\pi(\mu) = \int_G \pi(g) d\mu(g) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}.$$

[Thm. G is amenable $\Leftrightarrow \|\pi(\mu)\| = 1$.]

Proof. \Leftarrow Since f is symmetric, $\pi(\mu)^* = \pi(\mu)$.
 Since $\|\pi(\mu)\| = \sup_{\|f\|_2=1} \langle \pi(\mu)f, f \rangle$, $\exists f_n \in \mathcal{H} : \|f_n\|_2=1$,
 $\langle \pi(\mu)f_n, f_n \rangle \rightarrow 1$.

We argue as in Lecture 2 (proof of Prop.) to show that $\exists \psi_n \geq 0 : \|\psi_n\|_1 = 1$ such that
 $\|\pi(g)\psi_n - \psi_n\|_1 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ for μ -a.e. $g \in G$.

We define a sequence of means on $UCB(G)$:

$$M_n(\varphi) = \int_G \psi_n(g) \varphi(g) dg.$$

We have $|M_n(g_0 \cdot \varphi) - M_n(\varphi)| = \left| \int_G \psi_n(g_0^{-1}g) \varphi(g) dg \right.$

$$\left. - \int_G \psi_n(g) \varphi(g) dg \right| \leq \int_G |\pi(g_0)\psi_n(g) - \psi_n(g)| |\varphi(g)| dg$$

$$\leq \|\pi(g_0)\psi_n - \psi_n\|_1 \cdot \|\varphi\|_\infty \rightarrow 0.$$

By weak* compactness, $M_{n_i} \rightarrow M$, and

M is a G -inv. mean.

\Rightarrow (skipped)

Rmk. The proof of \Leftarrow works for any symmetric prob. measure.

Amenability and growth of random walks.

Let μ be a symmetric prob. measure on G such that $G = \langle \text{supp}(\mu) \rangle$.

Let $\Omega = G^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $\mathbb{P} = \mu^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$.

For $w \in \Omega$: $S_n(w) = w_n \dots w_1$.

Let d be a left invariant metric on G .

We assume that $\int_G d(g, e) d\mu(g) < \infty$.

Lem. For a.e. $w \in \Omega$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} d(S_n(w), e)$ exists and constant.

Proof. $d(S_{n+m}(w), e) = d(S_n(\theta^m w) S_m(w), e)$
 $= d(S_m(w), S_n(\theta^m w)^{-1}) \leq d(S_m(w), e) + d(e, S_n(\theta^m w)^{-1})$
 $= d(S_m(w), e) + d(S_n(\theta^m w), e).$

Now lemma follows from the Subadditive Ergodic Thm.

Def $\lambda(\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} d(S_n, e)$ is called the escape rate of the random walk.

Let $\delta_G = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \log \text{Vol}\{g \in G: d(g, e) < R\}$.

[Thm (Furman-Shalom) Assume that $\delta < \infty$ and the group G is not amenable. Then $\lambda(\mu) \geq \frac{2}{\delta_G} \log(\|\pi(\mu)\|^{-1}) > 0$.]

Proof. Let K be a compact subset of G with $\text{Vol}(K) > 0$. Let

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(K)} \chi_K \text{ and } f_2(g) = e^{-\frac{\delta}{2} d(g, e)}.$$

with $\delta > \delta_G$. Note that $f_1 \in L^2(G)$, and

$$\int_G |f_2|^2 = \int_G e^{-\delta d(g, e)} dg \leq \sum_n e^{-\delta n} \underbrace{\text{Vol}(n \leq d(g, e) < n+1)}_{\leq e^{-(\delta_G - \epsilon)(n+1)}, \epsilon > 0} < \infty.$$

By Jensen inequality,

$$-\log \langle \pi(g_0) f_2, f_1 \rangle = -\log \left(\int_G e^{-\frac{\delta}{2} d(g, g_0)} f_1(g) dg \right)$$

$$\leq \int_G \frac{\delta}{2} d(g, g_0) \cdot f_1(g) dg \leq \int_G \frac{\delta}{2} (d(g_0, e) + d(g, e)) f_1(g) dg$$

$$\leq \frac{\delta}{2} d(g_0, e) + \underbrace{\text{const}}_{\leftarrow \text{depends only on } K}$$

\leftarrow depends only on K .

Therefore, it remains to show that for a.e. ω ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \langle \pi(S_n(\omega)) f_2, f_1 \rangle \geq \log (\|\pi(\mu)\|^{-1}). \quad (*)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $h_\varepsilon(\omega) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\|\pi(\mu)\| + \varepsilon)^{-n} \langle \pi(S_n(\omega)) f_2, f_1 \rangle$

$$\int_{\Omega} h_\varepsilon(\omega) d\omega = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\|\pi(\mu)\| + \varepsilon)^{-n} \int_{G^n} \langle \pi(\omega_n \dots \omega_1) f_2, f_1 \rangle d\mu(\omega_1) \dots d\mu(\omega_n)$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} (\|\pi(\mu)\| + \varepsilon)^{-n} \langle \underbrace{\pi(\mu^{*n})}_{\pi(\mu)^n} f_2, f_1 \rangle$$

$$\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{\|\pi(\mu)\|}{\|\pi(\mu)\| + \varepsilon} \right)^n \cdot \|f_1\| \cdot \|f_2\| < \infty.$$

Hence, $h_\varepsilon \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{<} \infty$, and for a.e. ω ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \langle \pi(S_n(\omega)) f_2, f_1 \rangle \leq \log (\|\pi(\mu)\| + \varepsilon),$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$. This implies (*).

COR. 1) If G has subexponential volume growth (i.e., $\delta_G = 0$), then G is amenable.
 2) If G is not amenable, then $\lambda(\mu) > 0$.